

2018 County Feedlot Officer (CFO) Annual Report

(Data for the Period: January 1, 2018 - December 31, 2018)

Revised May 2018

County: Houston

Contact Person: Amelia Meiners

Phone: (507) 725-5800

E-Mail Address: amelia.meiners@co.houston.mn.us

Signature: _____

Teresa Walter
(Signature of County Board Commissioner)

3/12/19
(Date)

All data must be entered in accordance with the Annual CFO Report Guidance Document.

Except where identified, this report only addresses non-CAFO/NPDES/SDS sites required by 7020 to be registered.

STAFFING LEVEL						
1	FTEs - (Full Time Equivalents) supplied by the CFO(s):			0.74		
2	FTEs supplied by other county staff, including administrative and support staff assigned to the feedlot program:			0.01		
3	FTEs supplied through contract with other local government units:			0		
4	Total Number of FTE positions that supported county program:			0.75		
REGISTRATION (Report your current numbers - base grant numbers are displayed for reference)			Base	Current		
5	Feedlots in shoreland with 10 - 49 AU:		59	31		
6	Feedlots with 50 - 299 AU:		321	310		
7	Non-CAFO/NPDES/SDS ≥ 300 AU:		27	50		
8	CAFOs without NPDES or SDS permits 300-999 AU ("Gap Sites")		---	1		
9	Feedlots with NPDES or SDS permits:		2	2		
10	Total - Feedlots required to be registered:		409	394		
11	Total - Feedlots Eligible for Funding (FROM AGENCY BASE GRANT AWARD NUMBER)			414		
Feedlot Sites Inspected		Minimum number of FEEDLOT SITES required to register that must be inspected (7%):				
12	Number of FEEDLOT SITES that received a compliance, construction, desktop N&P, or in-field land app inspection (count in-field land app inspections as 1/2 of an inspection)			29		
				31		
INSPECTION REPORTING						
Types of Inspections (at sites required to be registered)		10 - 49 AU	50 - 299 AU	300 or more AU		
Only count first instance of each type of inspection per feedlot		(in shoreland)	(except where noted)	(Non-CAFO/NPDES/SDS)		
13	Compliance inspections	2	20	9		
	13.1) How many included the optional P review			0		
14	Construction inspections	0	0	0		
	14.1) How many received a 2nd construction inspection	0	0	0		
15	Desktop N&P records inspection (P review as part of a compliance inspection should be reported in 13.1)		0	100+ AU & in DWSMA	0	
16	In-field land application inspection	0	0	0		
17	Complaint initiated inspections (any non-CAFO/NPDES/SDS)	0	1	2		
18	Routine or follow-up stockpile only inspection	0	0	0		
Other Inspection Related Info						
19	CAFO/NPDES/SDS sites inspected at the MPCA's request			0		
20	Number of feedlots inspected within shoreland, a DWSMA, or a TMDL area.			18		
21	Number of sites inspected found to be non-compliant with water quality discharge standards.			8		
22	Number of sites inspected with 100+ AU found to be non-compliant with N and/or P requirements.			14		
INSPECTION Performance Credits (Summarized from entries above)		Total	Not PC eligible	PC eligible	PC	PC Total
NOTE: Inspections assumed to satisfy the 7% minimum are not PC eligible						
23	Compliance inspections <i>min. # of compliance inspections: 15</i>	31	29	2	1.5	3
24	Construction inspections	0	0	0	1	0
25	Desktop N&P records inspections	0	0	0	1.5	0
26	In-field land application inspections	0	0	0	0.5	0
27	Compliance inspections that include optional P review			0	0.5	0
28	Number of facilities that received 2 or more construction inspections.			0	0.5	0
29	CAFO/NPDES/SDS sites inspected at the MPCA's request			0	0.5	0
30	Complaint initiated inspections (any non-CAFO/NPDES/SDS) (only count 1 per feedlot):			3	0.5	1.5
31	Routine or follow-up stockpile only inspection. (only count 1 per feedlot)			0	0.25	0

Additional Performance Credit Calculations and Supplemental Information

Describe below the progress made in meeting your program year work plan inspection goals. You must provide quantitative results for each compliance inspection and land application goal listed in your work plan.

This years inspection strategy included 8 sites proposing construction or expansion, 12 sites with an interim or CSF permit over 300 animal units and 4 sites required to be registered that have never been inspected. Our goals for the risk/priority sites included 3 sites within the Pine Creek watershed and 2 sites that received cost-share.

With our current economic state we had a very slow year in issuing permits and very few producers came in to discuss building projects. Only two Interim Use Permits were given, one for building an LMSA and the other to replace a cow barn. The LMSA was a carryover from last year so the only inspection conducted was the replacing of a cow barn. One CSF was issued for the construction of a new swine facility, but the project has now been abandoned. I did inspect at 16 sites that had no previous inspections done and completed 8 inspections in the Pine Creek watershed area. One site that received feedlot cost share in 2017 was inspected and Houston County did not plan to conduct any in-field land application inspections in 2018 although one was completed as part of a complaint followup. One additional followup inspection was completed to evaluate an unpermitted liquid manure storage after it had been fixed and five inspections were conducted to followup on duty officer reports or complaints.

		Number	PC	PC Total
PERMITTING				
32	30-day construction or expansion notifications received:	0	---	---
33	Interim Permits Issued or Modified:	2	2	4
34	Construction Short-Form Permits Issued or Modified at Sites \geq 300 AU:	1	1	1
35	Public meetings held for construction or expansion to \geq 500 AU:	0	---	---
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW (EAW)		Number	PC	PC Total
36	EAW petitions received:	0	---	---
37	EAWs prepared by county:	0	4	0
EMERGENCY RESPONSE		Number	PC	PC Total
38	Events where emergency response was conducted: (on-site visit)	0	2	0
ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS		Number	PC	PC Total
39	Letters of Warning (LOW) issued:	1	---	---
40	Notices of Violation (NOV) issued:	1	---	---
41	Court actions commenced:	0	---	---
FEEDLOT SITE SCHEDULED COMPLIANCE (Achieved in current reporting year)		Number	PC	PC Total
42	Feedlots where a partial environmental upgrade was achieved:	0	---	---
43	Feedlots where a complete environmental upgrade was achieved:	0	6	0
LAND APPLICATION SCHEDULED COMPLIANCE (Achieved in current reporting year)		Number	PC	PC Total
44	Feedlots 100+ AU where N records requirements were returned to compliance:	2	---	---
45	Feedlots 300+ AU (or 100+ DWSMA) where N&P requirements were returned to compliance:	0	---	---
46	Feedlots 100+ AU where in-field inspection non-compliance was resolved:	0	---	---

OWNER ASSISTANCE AND OUTREACH		Number	PC	PC Total
47	Sites visited to provide assistance	0	---	---
48	Workshops/trainings hosted/sponsored by the CFO:	0	2	0
	48.1) Total number of feedlot owners attending these events	0	---	---
49	CFO presentations at informational or producer group events: (per event)	0	1	0
50	Number of mailings to feedlot owners:	105	---	---
51	Feedlot articles placed in newspapers:	0	---	---

Describe your workshops, trainings, newsletters, mailings, articles, or other assistance and outreach activities.

Date	Description
May-18	This mailing was for a workshop we planned to co-host with Winona County that ended up being cancelled.
March, May	A few other small mailings were sent out for registrations that were not returned in 2017.

CFO TRAINING AND MENTORING		Number	PC	PC Total
52	CFO - training hours: (Enter total training hours earned - list events below)	59.5	---	---
53	Hours mentoring New CFOs (describe on a separate sheet):	0	0.25	0

List the training events attended.

Date	Description	Hours
1/10/2018	New CFO Webex	1.5
1/17/2018	Webex	0.5
1/23/2018	Webex	1
1/31/2018	Webex	1
2/14/2018	Nitrogen SMART	3
3/27-29/18	MACFO Conference - 2 CFOs	22.25
4/10-11/18	Nutrient Management Training	9.5
5/16/2018	Webex	1
6/14/2018	Regional Meeting	4.5
7/10-11/18	MinnFarm Training	9
7/24/2018	Map Tool Training	0.75
11/7/2018	Regional Meeting	4
11/14/2018	Webex	1.25

OTHER PROGRAM ACTIVITIES		Number	PC	PC Total
54	Feedlots where a MinnFARM was conducted (list sites below):	9	1	9
55	Notifications received claiming air quality exemptions:	2	---	---
56	Meetings with other local government and producer groups:	0	---	---
57	Feedlot ordinance revisions likely, in progress, or completed? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			

If Yes describe below

MinnFARM completed by CFO		Describe other county program activities not identified elsewhere. Likely; we need to look at setback distances and possibly changing them to a tiered system based on animal units. We are running into issues with very small unregistered "feedlots" that create issues with our zoning ordinance. Also the feedlot ordinance needs some language changes just to provide more clarification.
registration no.	Site Name	
055-119636	Brad Olinger	
055-126309	Eugene Bauer #1	
055-126308	Eugene Bauer #2	
055-114186	Dwayne Johnson #1	
055-114149	Dwayne Johnson #2	
055-91675	Howard Staggemeyer	

TOTAL PERFORMANCE CREDITS	18.50
----------------------------------	--------------

CY2018 MPCA County Feedlot Financial Report			
The county may show all county expenditures beyond the required match.			
		Revised 1/3/19	
County	Houston County		
County Feedlot Officer	Amelia Meiners	(507) 725-5800	
	NAME	PHONE	
	Budgeted	Spent	Balance Remaining
2017 Carryover	\$ 10,068.00	\$ 10,068.00	0
Grant Award Amount	\$ 42,750.00	\$ 20,791.00	21959
Required Match Amount	\$ 29,709.00	\$ 29,709.00	0
2017 Performance Credits (Rec'd in 2018)	\$ 3,408.00	\$ -	3408
TOTAL	\$ 85,935.00	\$ 60,568.00	25367
Activity	Spent		
Complaint Response	\$ 1,476.09		
Inspections & Compliance	\$ 23,639.94		
Owner Assistance	\$ 2,926.17		
Permitting	\$ 3,037.91		
Registration/Inventories	\$ 4,562.45		
Training/Conferences	\$ 13,139.46		
Administration	\$ 7,388.03		
Other (explain)	\$ 4,398.19		
Study of materials, AgBMP workshop attendance			
Choose Row 24 or 26 when entering Overhead costs. If Overhead is figured into CFO's salary which is in turn figured into program activity costs above, state that here -> and do not enter Overhead costs in Row 24 or 26.	<i>Overhead is figured into salary.</i>		
Overhead Lump Sum (If you do not break down overhead expenses but track them in a lump some or in	Spent		
Overhead Broken Down (If you break down overhead expenses please enter amount spent for each.)	Spent		
Office (lease, utilities, furniture, insurance, etc.)			
Vehicle (lease, fuel, mtnc., etc.)			
Supplies (computer, internet, phone, copier, fax, paper, postage,			
Other (explain)			
Research fees			
TOTAL	\$60,568.24		
Employee Name	FTE	Grant Salary Expense (includes insurance/benefits)	
Amelia Meiners	0.68	\$51,412.41	36.21/hr
Aaron Lacher	0.06	\$ 6,245.21	49.85/hr
Holly Felten	0.01	\$ 844.39	40.44/hr
TOTAL	0.75	\$ 58,502.01	

FTE = Full Time Equivalent; the percentage of employee's time dedicated to the feedlot program in 2018.

